

Joseph A. Boyle
Vincent P. Rao
Steven J. Moore (*Pro Hac Vice*)
James M. Moriarty (*Pro Hac Vice*)
KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP
200 Kimball Drive
Parsippany, New Jersey 07054
(973) 503-5900
Attorneys for Defendants
Zydus Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. and
Cadila Healthcare, Limited

RECEIVED

MAR 22 2013

AT 8:30 _____ M
WILLIAM T. WALSH CLERK

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICAL
COMPANY LIMITED, TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS NORTH
AMERICA, INC., TAKEDA
PHARMACEUTICALS LLC,
TAKEDA PHARMACEUTICALS
AMERICA, INC., and ETHYPHARM,
S.A.,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ZYDUS PHARMACEUTICALS USA
INC. and CADILA HEALTHCARE
LIMITED,

Defendants.

CIVIL ACTION NO:
3:10-CV-01723-JAP-TJB

Returnable: April 1, 2013

Oral Argument Requested

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION TO
SEAL DEFENDANTS'
MEMORANDUM IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION *IN LIMINE* TO
PRECLUDE EVIDENCE OF
INSTRUMENT TESTING**

This matter having come before the Court by motion of Defendants Zydus Pharmaceuticals, USA, Inc. and Cadila Healthcare, Limited (collectively "Zydus" or "Defendants") for leave to file under seal Zydus' February 28, 2013 Motion to Preclude Evidence of Instrument Testing, the accompanying Exhibits B-G to the

Declaration of Vincent P. Rao, and the proposed form of Order, and the Court having reviewed the submissions of counsel for good cause shown,¹

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants are granted leave to file under seal Zydy's February 28, 2013 Motion to Preclude Evidence of Instrument

Testing, the accompanying Exhibits B-G to the Declaration of Vincent P. Rao, and

the proposed form of Order. *However, in light of the fact that it is unclear whether sealing the motion to preclude evidence of instrument testing represents the least restrictive alternative, Defendants shall either submit a proposed redacted version of same or a letter explaining why redacting the motion is not*
DATED: March 21, 2013 *practical no later than 4/11/13.*²

SO ORDERED:



Hon. Tonianne J. Bongiovanni, U.S.M.J.

[Docket Entry No. 297 is terminated].

¹ The Court is aware that any interested person seeking to intervene with respect to Defendants' Motion has until April 1, 2013, the Return Date for the motion, to move to do so. Consequently, should an interested person timely move to intervene, the Court shall reconsider its findings of fact and conclusions of law at that time.

² The Court finds that Defendants have established that sealing Exhibits B-G to the Declaration of Vincent P. Rao in their entirety represents the least restrictive alternative.